

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandran, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,106	02/03/2005	Hiroshi Dairiki	46242	7579
	7590 06/27/2008 CNISON & SELTER		EXAMINER SULLIVAN, DANIELLE D	
2000 M STREE	ET NW SUITE 700			
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/523,106		DAIRIKI ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DANIELLE SULLIVAN	1616		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 29 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandomment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other ence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.51; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7060 (The Charles) and the properties of the p
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension gives under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late it has three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since I Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
 Interest and the state of the compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Image: Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
 Applicant's Teply has overcome the billowing rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.16(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 4.13(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
12. The Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
13. Other:
/Mina Haghighatian/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that a polyoxyethylene tristyriphenyl ether is not obvious because Scuuld does not teach that the polyoxyethylene is covalently bond the tristyry) henyl ether. The examiner disagrees with this viewpoint. Applicant has failed to support that the claimed polyoxyethylene tristyry)phenyl is in fact covalently bonded. Furthermore, Suwa teaches that the use of polyoxyethylene stryphenyl ether sulfuric acid acid in disintegrability of granular agricultural chemical compositions. While, Suzuki teaches that tristyryl phenyl ether with polyoxyethylene added therelo produces the same effect. Therefore, absent any evidence to the contrary tristyl phenyl ether added therelo with polyoxyethylene is considered to be equal to polyoxyethylene ristyrylphenyl ether. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use the sulfale salt oployxyethylene tristyrylphenyl ether in a granulated pesticidal composition with a lignosuflonate surfactant as taught by Deming since both are used in the formulation of aronaular pesticides and Suzuki teaches that oboxyethylene tristyryl phenyl ether this would aid in dispersability